

LINGUISTIC AND SOCIOCULTURAL FEATURES OF COMMISSIVE SPEECH ACTS IN ENGLISH

Ruzmetova Shokhsanam Temur kizi
Uzbekistan state world languages university
2nd year graduate student,
+998 95 556 4004,
[*shohsanamruzmetova0790@gmail.com.*](mailto:shohsanamruzmetova0790@gmail.com)

Annotation: The article explores the linguistic and sociocultural dimensions of commissive speech acts in English. It delves into the pragmatic aspects of promises, commitments, and offers, examining how language structures and cultural norms shape these communicative acts. Through a comprehensive analysis of linguistic markers, speech strategies, and cultural contexts, this study sheds light on the intricacies of commissive speech acts in English discourse, providing valuable insights for intercultural communication and language teaching practices.

Key words: commissive speech acts, contextual formality, cross-cultural variations, culture, cultural value, sociocultural features.

Аннотация: В статье исследуются лингвистические и социокультурные аспекты коммуникативных речевых актов в английском языке. Также рассматриваются прагматические аспекты обещаний, обязательств и оферт, как и языковые структуры и культурные нормы формируют эти коммуникативные акты. Благодаря всестороннему анализу лингвистических маркеров, речевых стратегий и культурных контекстов это исследование проливает свет на тонкости коммуникативных речевых актов в английском дискурсе, предоставляя ценную информацию для межкультурной коммуникации и практики преподавания языка.

Ключевые слова: комиссивные (коммуникативные) речевые акты, контекстуальная формальность, межкультурные вариации, культура, культурная ценность, социокультурные особенности.

International Conference on Education and Innovation

Annotatsiya: Maqolada ingliz tilidagi kommunikativ nutq aktlarining lingvistik va ijtimoiy-madaniy jihatlari ko'rib chiqilgan. Va'dalar, majburiyatlar va takliflarning pragmatic jihatlari, lingvistik tuzilmalar va madaniy normalar ushbu kommunikativ harakatlarni shakllantirilishi ham tahlil qilingan. Lingvistik markerlar, nutq strategiyalari va madaniy kontekstlarni har tomonlama tahlil qilish orqali ushbu tadqiqot ingliz tilidagi nutqda kommunikativ nutq aktlarining nozik tomonlarini yoritib beradi, madaniyatlararo muloqot va tillarni o'qitish amaliyoti uchun qimmatli ma'lumotlarni taqdim etadi.

Kalit so'zlar: komissiv nutq aktlari, kontekstual rasmiyatchilik, madaniyatlararo tafovutlar, madaniyat, madaniy qadriyat, ijtimoiy madaniy xususiyatlar.

Commissive speech acts in English exhibit distinct sociocultural features that reflect the underlying norms and values of the society. These acts, where speakers commit to future actions or promises, are influenced by cultural nuances that shape their expression. Politeness, for instance, plays a crucial role, with varying degrees of directness observed in different cultural contexts. In some English-speaking cultures, such as the United Kingdom, commissive speech may be veiled in politeness and subtle language, emphasizing the importance of maintaining harmony and avoiding explicit commitments. In contrast, certain American cultural norms may encourage more straightforward and explicit expressions of commitment. Furthermore, the influence of individualism versus collectivism can impact the nature of commissive speech, with societies valuing personal autonomy often emphasizing individual commitments, while more collectivist cultures may stress group-oriented promises. Understanding these sociocultural intricacies is essential for effective communication and cross-cultural competence in English. [1, P.25]

Moreover, the social hierarchy within a culture can also shape commissive speech acts. In hierarchical societies, individuals may be more inclined to express commitments in a manner that aligns with their position or authority. This hierarchical influence can affect not only the choice of words but also the formality and level of deference used in making commitments. Additionally, the concept of time and

International Conference on Education and Innovation

punctuality holds cultural significance, impacting how commitments are perceived. Cultures that prioritize punctuality may place a strong emphasis on fulfilling promises within a specific timeframe, while others may adopt a more flexible approach.

In the realm of commissive speech acts, cultural expectations surrounding trust and credibility are paramount. In high-trust societies, commitments may rely heavily on personal relationships and past experiences, with a verbal promise carrying significant weight. Conversely, in low-trust cultures, legal documentation and explicit contractual agreements may be more prevalent to ensure commitment fulfillment.

So, the sociocultural features of commissive speech acts in English are multifaceted, encompassing politeness, individualism-collectivism dynamics, social hierarchy, punctuality, and trust. Recognizing and navigating these cultural nuances are vital for effective communication, fostering understanding, and building successful interpersonal relationships across diverse English-speaking communities.

Furthermore, the context in which commissive speech acts occur can vary greatly across cultures. In certain societies, formal settings may demand a more ceremonial and elaborate expression of commitment, whereas informal interactions may allow for a more relaxed and casual approach. The influence of cultural values on the level of formality, therefore, extends beyond language choice to encompass non-verbal cues, gestures, and even the use of specific rituals during the act of making commitments.

In some English-speaking cultures, such as those with a strong emphasis on individual responsibility, commissive speech acts may be accompanied by a desire to convey personal accountability. Individuals may strive to articulate their commitment in a way that highlights their dedication and integrity, reinforcing the notion that their word can be trusted. On the contrary, in cultures where communal values prevail, commissive speech may involve a collective responsibility, with promises seen as shared commitments within a broader social context. It is important to note that the evolving nature of language and culture continually shapes commissive speech acts. Globalization, increased interconnectedness, and exposure to diverse cultural influences have led to a blending of norms and expectations. As a result, individuals

International Conference on Education and Innovation

navigating English-speaking environments must be attuned to the dynamic interplay between sociocultural factors, adapting their communicative strategies accordingly. In essence, the study of commissive speech acts in English not only sheds light on linguistic nuances but also serves as a window into the intricate tapestry of cultural diversity. [2, P.68]

Additionally, the role of power dynamics within a society significantly impacts commissive speech acts. In cultures with a more egalitarian approach, commitments may be framed as collaborative efforts, emphasizing shared responsibility and mutual benefit. On the other hand, in societies where power distance is pronounced, there might be a more formalized and structured expression of commitments, with clear delineation of roles and expectations.

Cross-cultural variations in the perception of face-saving strategies also contribute to the complexity of commissive speech. Some cultures prioritize maintaining face, and commitments may be framed delicately to avoid causing embarrassment or discomfort. In contrast, cultures that value directness may prioritize honesty over face-saving, leading to more straightforward expressions of commitment. The influence of religion and spirituality can't be overlooked either, as it can infuse a sense of morality and ethical considerations into commissive speech acts. In cultures with strong religious affiliations, commitments may be framed within a moral context, with individuals aligning their promises with ethical principles derived from their faith. [3, P.72]

In other words, examining the sociocultural features of commissive speech acts in English necessitates a comprehensive exploration of power dynamics, face-saving strategies, and the interplay of religious and moral values. As individuals engage in cross-cultural communication, recognizing and respecting these diverse elements will enhance their ability to navigate the intricate landscape of commitment expression within the English language.

For instance, in a more hierarchical culture, a supervisor committing to a project deadline may use formal language and express the commitment in a way that

International Conference on Education and Innovation

underscores their authority, reinforcing the organizational structure. On the other hand, in a culture with flatter hierarchies, a team member may feel more comfortable making commitments collaboratively, emphasizing the collective effort and shared responsibility. Consider the difference in the expression of commitment between a business negotiation in a Western individualistic society and a similar scenario in an Eastern collectivist culture. In the former, the focus might be on individual accomplishments and personal accountability, while in the latter, there might be an emphasis on group harmony and the interconnectedness of commitments within the team. Religious and moral values can be illustrated through examples as well. In a culture with strong religious influences, a commitment might be framed in a way that aligns with ethical principles derived from religious teachings. For instance, a promise to support a charitable cause may be expressed in terms of fulfilling one's duty to contribute to the well-being of the community, integrating religious values into the commitment.

Moreover, face-saving strategies can be demonstrated through linguistic choices. In a culture valuing face-saving, a commitment to improve a work process might be delicately phrased to avoid pointing out specific flaws, thus preserving the dignity of those involved. Contrastingly, in a culture where directness is prized, the commitment might involve a more candid discussion of areas that need improvement. These examples illustrate the dynamic interplay of sociocultural features in commissive speech acts within the English language, emphasizing the importance of cultural awareness in effective cross-cultural communication. [4, P.60]

Consider the impact of power dynamics in a workplace scenario. In a culture with a flatter power structure, a manager committing to mentorship might use inclusive language, emphasizing a collaborative approach: "*We'll work together to achieve your professional goals.*" Conversely, in a more hierarchical setting, the commitment might be expressed with clear directives reflecting authority: "*I will guide you based on my experience and expertise.*" Examining face-saving strategies in a business context, imagine a situation where a project deadline needs adjustment. In a culture valuing

International Conference on Education and Innovation

face-saving, a manager might frame the commitment as a collective decision: *"Considering our team's workload, let's mutually agree on a revised timeline."* In a culture emphasizing directness, the commitment might be straightforward: *"I acknowledge the need for an extension; let's discuss a new deadline."* Religious and moral values can also be exemplified through commitments. In a culture influenced by strong ethical principles, a commitment to sustainable business practices might be expressed as aligning with broader environmental responsibilities, reflecting a commitment to the greater good. Lastly, observing commitment expressions in social interactions can provide further insights. In a culture valuing social harmony, a commitment to attend a gathering might be framed as contributing to the overall enjoyment of the group. In a culture prioritizing individual autonomy, the commitment might focus on personal enjoyment and fulfillment: *"I'll attend because I find it personally enriching."* These examples illustrate the nuanced nature of commissive speech acts within the English language, showcasing the intricate dance between language and culture in shaping commitment expressions across various contexts. [5, P.70]

Examining commitments within the context of time and punctuality offers additional examples. In a culture that highly values punctuality, a commitment to a meeting might involve specific time references and a strong emphasis on timeliness: *"I commit to being present at the meeting at 3 PM sharp."* Conversely, in a culture with a more flexible approach to time, the commitment might be expressed in a broader timeframe: *"I'll be there in the afternoon."* Furthermore, the influence of individual responsibility can be exemplified in professional settings. In an individualistic culture, a commitment to meet project goals might emphasize personal dedication: *"I'll ensure my tasks are completed on time to contribute to the project's success."* In a more collectivist setting, the commitment might focus on team collaboration: *"Our joint effort will lead us to achieving our project objectives."* Consider the impact of trust in interpersonal commitments. In a high-trust culture, a commitment might be verbalized with reliance on personal relationships: *"I promise to support you because of our*

International Conference on Education and Innovation

shared history and trust." In a low-trust culture, the commitment might involve more formalized agreements: *"Let's document our commitments to ensure clarity and accountability."* These varied examples highlight the importance of recognizing and navigating sociocultural features when engaging in commissive speech acts within English. As language and culture continuously evolve, individuals who can adeptly navigate these complexities contribute to effective communication and relationship-building across diverse contexts. [6, P.57]

Exploring the impact of contextual formality, imagine a commitment made in a formal business meeting compared to a casual team gathering. In a formal setting, a commitment might be expressed with elevated language and structure: *"I hereby commit to delivering the report by the specified deadline."* In a more relaxed environment, the commitment may take a conversational tone: *"Sure, I'll get that report to you by the end of the week."* Examining the role of power dynamics, envision a workplace scenario where a team leader delegates tasks. In a culture with pronounced power distance, the commitment might be phrased with deference: *"I humbly accept the responsibility and will ensure the task is completed as instructed."* In a culture promoting equality, the commitment might be more collaborative: *"Let's work together on this; I'll take care of these aspects, and you handle the rest."* Turning to face-saving strategies in personal relationships, consider a commitment involving constructive feedback. In a culture valuing face-saving, the commitment might be delicately framed to preserve the other person's feelings: *"I appreciate your effort, and here are some gentle suggestions for improvement."* In a culture emphasizing directness, the commitment might involve straightforward feedback: *"Let's discuss areas where we can enhance our approach."* These nuanced examples underscore the importance of considering sociocultural features in commissive speech acts. Whether navigating professional commitments, interpersonal promises, or collaborative efforts, understanding these cultural intricacies is essential for effective communication and successful interactions within the diverse landscape of English-speaking communities. [6, P.32]

International Conference on Education and Innovation

Turning attention to the influence of religion and morality on commissive speech acts, consider a commitment related to ethical business practices. In a culture shaped by strong moral values, the commitment might be framed in a way that aligns with ethical principles: *"I commit to conducting business in a way that upholds our values of honesty and integrity."* In a culture with diverse religious influences, a commitment could draw upon shared spiritual values: *"Our business decisions will reflect the ethical teachings that guide our beliefs."* Examining social interactions, commitments to attend social events can vary based on cultural perspectives. In a culture emphasizing social harmony, a commitment to attend a gathering might highlight the joy of shared moments: *"I look forward to contributing to the enjoyment of our time together."* In an individualistic culture, the commitment might emphasize personal enjoyment: *"I'll attend because I value the experience and connection."* Exploring the impact of trust in professional commitments, imagine a team setting where trust is foundational. A commitment to collaborate might be expressed with confidence in team members: *"I trust that each of us will fulfill our roles, contributing to the success of our joint project."* In a context where trust is still developing, the commitment might involve more explicit agreements: *"Let's establish clear expectations to build trust and ensure accountability."* These diverse examples further underscore the intricate interplay of sociocultural factors in shaping commissive speech acts within English. Whether in the realm of business, interpersonal relationships, or social engagements, understanding these nuances contributes to effective communication and fosters positive connections in the rich tapestry of English-speaking societies. [6, P.43]

In conclusion, the examination of commissive speech acts across various organizational domains within the sociocultural context highlights the profound impact of cultural nuances on communication styles, leadership approaches, and organizational practices. From conflict resolution and employee well-being to innovation and corporate values, cultural influences shape the language used to express commitments. Recognizing these sociocultural features is indispensable for effective communication and successful implementation of commitments in diverse English-

speaking environments. The examples provided demonstrate the importance of adapting commitment expressions to align with cultural values, fostering positive workplace dynamics, and ensuring a cohesive organizational culture that resonates across diverse backgrounds and perspectives.

REFERENCES:

1. Baker P. Using Corpora in Discourse Analysis, Continuum, London, UK. 2006. – 125 p.
2. Bobrova E.D. “Language mechanism to express commissive speech acts in English”, RUDN Journal of Language Studies, Semiotics and Semantics, no 1, 2013. – P. 66-73.
3. Hickey R. “A Promise is a Promise: On Speech Acts of Commitment in English”, Studia Angelica Posnaniae, vol.18, 1996. – P. 69-80.
4. Karasik V.I. “Commissive as a Behavioral Action: Linguistic and Cultural Features”, Speech Genres, no. 2 (14), 2016. – P. 56-66.
5. Pospelova A. G. Funktsional'nyy aspekt izucheniya rechevykh aktov: Illokutivno-interaktivnaya kharakteristika [Functional aspect of the study of speech acts: Illocutionary and interactive characteristics] / A. G. Pospelova // Trekhaspektnost' grammatiki [Three - aspect grammar]. - Saint-Petersburg, 1992. – P.68-84.
6. Vlasenko N. I. Funktsionirovaniye kosvennykh rechevykh aktov v epistolyarnom zhanre [The functioning of indirect speech acts in epistolary janre]: monograph / N. I. Vlasenko - Kursk, 2016. – 127 p.